

THE YONEDA ALGEBRA OF A \mathcal{K}_2 ALGEBRA NEED NOT BE ANOTHER \mathcal{K}_2 ALGEBRA

Thomas Cassidy[†], Christopher Phan[‡] and Brad Shelton[‡]

[†]Department of Mathematics
Bucknell University
Lewisburg, Pennsylvania 17837

[‡]Department of Mathematics
University of Oregon
Eugene, Oregon 97403-1222

ABSTRACT. The Yoneda algebra of a Koszul algebra or a D -Koszul algebra is Koszul. \mathcal{K}_2 algebras are a natural generalization of Koszul algebras, and one would hope that the Yoneda algebra of a \mathcal{K}_2 algebra would be another \mathcal{K}_2 algebra. We show that this is not necessarily the case by constructing a monomial \mathcal{K}_2 algebra for which the corresponding Yoneda algebra is not \mathcal{K}_2 .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A be a connected graded algebra over a field K . Correspondences between A and its bigraded Yoneda algebra $E(A) = \bigoplus_{n,m} \text{Ext}_A^{n,m}(K, K)$ have been studied in many contexts (e.g. [4], [5], [6] and [10]). In particular there are very interesting classes of algebras where $E(A)$ inherits good properties from A . Perhaps the most famous and intently studied of such classes of algebra is the class of Koszul algebras.

An algebra is Koszul [10] if its Yoneda algebra is generated as an algebra by cohomology degree one elements. Koszul algebras will always have quadratic defining relations and given such an algebra, A , the Yoneda algebra is isomorphic to the quadratic dual algebra A^\dagger . In particular, one has Koszul duality: If A is Koszul then $E(A)$ is Koszul and $E(E(A)) = A$.

The following natural generalization of Koszul was introduced in [2] and also investigated in [7] and [8]. We write $E^n(A)$ for $\bigoplus_p \text{Ext}_A^{n,m}(K, K)$.

Key words and phrases. graded algebra, Koszul algebra, Yoneda algebra.

Definition 1.1. The graded algebra A is said to be \mathcal{K}_2 if $E(A)$ is generated as an algebra by $E^1(A)$ and $E^2(A)$.

Koszul algebras are simply quadratic \mathcal{K}_2 algebras. \mathcal{K}_2 algebras share many of the nice properties of Koszul algebras, including stability under tensor products, regular normal extensions and graded Ore extensions, (cf. [2]). Every graded complete intersection is a \mathcal{K}_2 algebra.

Another important class of algebras is the class of D -Koszul algebras introduced by Berger in [1]. This is the class defined by: $\text{Ext}_A^{n,m}(K, K) = 0$ unless $m = \delta(n)$, where $\delta(2n) = nD$ and $\delta(2n+1) = nD+1$. These algebras arise naturally in certain contexts and all such D -Koszul algebras are easily seen to be \mathcal{K}_2 . A remarkable theorem in [3] states that if A is D -Koszul algebra, then $E(A)$ is a \mathcal{K}_2 algebra, and furthermore, it is possible to regrade $E(A)$ in such a way that $E(A)$ becomes a Koszul algebra. In particular one gets a “delayed” duality: $E(E(A)) = E(A)^\dagger$ and $E(E(E(A))) = E(A)$.

Based on the above theorem of [3], Koszul duality, and calculations of many other \mathcal{K}_2 -examples, it seems reasonable to hope that the Yoneda algebra of any \mathcal{K}_2 algebra would also be \mathcal{K}_2 , perhaps even Koszul. Unfortunately, this is not always the case, and the purpose of this article is to exhibit an example of a \mathcal{K}_2 algebra for which the corresponding Yoneda algebra is not Koszul nor even \mathcal{K}_2 . Our example has 13 generators and 9 monomial defining relations. We believe that such a monomial algebra cannot be constructed with fewer generators and relations.

We wish to thank Jan-Erik Roos for pointing out an error in an earlier version of this paper.

2. THE ALGEBRAS $A, E(A)$ AND $E(E(A))$

Let K be a field. Let $\{m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u, v, w, x, y, z\}$ be a basis for a vector space V . We define A to be the K -algebra $T(V)/I$ where I is the ideal generated by this list of monomial tensors:

$$R = \{mn^2p, n^2pqr, npqrs, pqrst, stu, tuvw, uvwx, vwxy, xy^2z\}.$$

Theorem 2.1. *The algebra A is \mathcal{K}_2 , but the algebra $E(A)$ is not \mathcal{K}_2 .*

Proof. We use the algorithm given in section 5 of [2] to prove that A is \mathcal{K}_2 . From the set R one can calculate that $S_1 = \{m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u, v, w, x, y, z\}$, $S_2 = \{mn^2, n^2pq, npqr, pqr, st, tuvw, uvwx, vwxy, xy^2\}$, $S_3 = \{pqr, vw\}$, $S_4 = \{n^2\}$ and $S_5 = \emptyset$. One easily verifies that for every $b \in S$ with minimal left annihilator a we have either $\deg(a) = 1$ or $ab \in R$, and hence A is \mathcal{K}_2 .

Let $B = E(A)$. In what follows we consider only the cohomology grading on B . Following section 5 of [2] we can construct a minimal projective resolution P^\bullet of ${}_B K$ and see that the Hilbert Series of the algebra B is $1 + 13t^2 + 9t^3 + 8t^4 + 4t^5 + 3t^6 + t^7$.

It is possible (although laborious) to describe B in terms of generators and relations and then construct a minimal resolution of ${}_B K$ and apply Theorem 4.4 of [2] to show that B is not \mathcal{K}_2 . However B 's failure to be \mathcal{K}_2 is apparent already in $\text{Ext}_B^3(K, K)$ and consequently there is a more efficient way for us to illustrate this.

Let \bar{m} and \bar{z} denote the basis elements in B_1 dual to m and z in A_1 . The vector space B_2 has a basis dual to the elements of the list of relations R . We will use α, β and γ to denote the dual basis elements corresponding to the monomials n^2pqr, stu and $vwx y^2$. From the maps in the resolution P^\bullet one can see that $\bar{m}\alpha$, $\gamma\bar{z}$ and $\beta\gamma$ are nonzero in B , while $\bar{m}\alpha\beta$ and $\beta\gamma\bar{z}$ are each zero.

Recall that $Tor^B(K, K)$ can be calculated using the bar-complex [9] where $Bar_i(K, B, K) = K \otimes_B B \otimes B_+ \otimes \cdots \otimes B_+ \otimes K = B_+^{\otimes i}$. Let $\zeta = \bar{m}\alpha \otimes \beta\gamma \otimes \bar{z} \in B_+^{\otimes 3}$. The differential on the bar-complex gives us $\partial(\zeta) = \bar{m}\alpha\beta\gamma \otimes \bar{z} - \bar{m}\alpha \otimes \beta\gamma\bar{z} = 0$. ζ is not in the image of $B_+^{\otimes 4}$ because $\partial(\bar{m} \otimes \alpha \otimes \beta\gamma \otimes \bar{z}) = \bar{m}\alpha \otimes \beta\gamma \otimes \bar{z} - \bar{m} \otimes \alpha\beta\gamma \otimes \bar{z}$ while $\partial(\bar{m}\alpha \otimes \beta \otimes \gamma \otimes \bar{z}) = -\bar{m}\alpha \otimes \beta\gamma \otimes \bar{z} + \bar{m}\alpha \otimes \beta \otimes \gamma\bar{z}$. Thus ζ represents a non-zero homology class in Tor_3^B .

In contrast the element $\bar{m}\alpha \otimes \beta\gamma = \partial(-\bar{m}\alpha \otimes \beta \otimes \gamma)$ represents zero in Tor_2^B and $\bar{m}\alpha = \partial(\bar{m} \otimes \alpha)$ represents zero in Tor_1^B . Therefore under the co-multiplication map

$$\Delta : Tor_3^B(K, K) \rightarrow Tor_2^B(K, K) \otimes Tor_1^B(K, K) \oplus Tor_1^B(K, K) \otimes Tor_2^B(K, K)$$

we have $\Delta(\zeta) = 0$. This failure of Δ to be injective is equivalent to the multiplication map

$$E^2(B) \otimes E^1(B) \oplus E^1(B) \otimes E^2(B) \rightarrow E^3(B)$$

not being surjective. Hence $E(B)$ is not generated by $E^1(B)$ and $E^2(B)$, and so B is not a \mathcal{K}_2 algebra. \square

REFERENCES

1. Roland Berger, *Koszulity for nonquadratic algebras*, J. Algebra **239** (2001), no. 2, 705–734. MR MR1832913 (2002d:16034)
2. Thomas Cassidy and Brad Shelton, *Generalizing the notion of Koszul algebra*, Math. Z. **260** (2008), no. 1, 93–114. MR MR2413345
3. E. L. Green, E. N. Marcos, R. Martínez-Villa, and Pu Zhang, *D-Koszul algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **193** (2004), no. 1-3, 141–162. MR MR2076383 (2005f:16044)
4. Edward L. Green and Roberto Martínez Villa, *Koszul and Yoneda algebras*, Representation theory of algebras (Cocoyoc, 1994), CMS Conf. Proc., vol. 18, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996, pp. 247–297. MR MR1388055 (97c:16012)
5. D. M. Lu, J. H. Palmieri, Q. S. Wu, and J. J. Zhang, *A-infinity structure on ext-algebras*, pre-print, 2006.
6. Roberto Martínez-Villa, *Skew group algebras and their Yoneda algebras*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **43** (2001), 1–16. MR MR1913868 (2003g:18013)
7. Justin M. Mauger, *The cohomology of certain Hopf algebras associated with p-groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **356** (2004), no. 8, 3301–3323 (electronic). MR MR2052951 (2005c:16014)
8. Christopher Phan, *Generalized Koszul properties for augmented algebras*, preprint, 2008.
9. Alexander Polishchuk and Leonid Positselski, *Quadratic algebras*, University Lecture Series, vol. 37, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005. MR MR2177131
10. Stewart B. Priddy, *Koszul resolutions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **152** (1970), 39–60. MR MR0265437 (42 #346)